

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE J		PAGE OF PAGES 1 15	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0001		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 03-Jun-2016		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. ACQR3901040		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable) 17587	
6. ISSUED BY NAVFAC MID ATLANTIC MARFORRES FST 520 DEWEY AVE, BUILDING 5 GREAT LEAKES IL 60088-3147		CODE N40085		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) See Item 6			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. N40085-16-R-3607			
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 10-May-2016			
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.			
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)			
CODE		FACILITY CODE					
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.							
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) The purpose of this amendment is to issue revisions to the solicitation and to incorporate Attachment J "Government Response to Request for Information" under N40085-16-R-3607. Attachment J is provided as attached.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.							
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)				16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
				TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		15C. DATE SIGNED		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNED 03-Jun-2016	

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT 0001

The following revisions are incorporated into N40085-16-R-3607. The conformed copy of Section 000100 Bidding Schedule and Instructions to Bidders is herein provided in its entirety.

Under Section 000100 Bidding Schedule and Instructions to Bidders, E. Evaluation Factors for Award:

1. In the paragraph entitled Price "Phase II" the first sentence is revised as follows: Offerors shall submit one (1) Original, marked "Original" proposal and two (2) copies of their price proposal with the following information included."
2. The paragraph entitled "Non-Price/Technical Requirements" is revised to clarify the number of proposals and revise the font size as follows: "Offerors shall submit one (1) original, marked "original" proposal, one (1) CD, and four (4) proposal copies, each in a separate three ring binder with the following:"

- 10 point font or greater

3. Under Factor 1 - Technical Approach the technical narrative is revised to exclude the organization chart from the one (1) double sided or two (2) singled sided page limitations. The following sentence is revised as follows:

"The technical narrative shall be limited to one (1) double-sided or two (2) single-sided pages excluding the organizational chart. The organizational chart shall not exceed one (1) single-sided page.

Attachment D is revised to reformatted and attached.

SECTION 00010 - SOLICITATION CONTRACT FORM

The following have been modified:

SECTION 000100

Section 00100 - Bidding Schedule/Instructions to Bidders

INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

A. DESCRIPTION OF ACQUISITION

P-125 is a design-build construction project to construct a new joint training complex to serve a beneficiary population of Navy and Marine Reservists in Des Moines, IA. The construction includes a 46,199 Square Feet Reserve Training Center (RTC) building, a 3,254 Square Feet Vehicle Maintenance Facility (VMF) and comprehensive site development. The RTC will be the primary facility and will contain offices, classrooms, a drill hall and various spaces used for training Marine Corps and Navy Reservists. It will also include an exterior covered weapons cleaning area. The VMF will provide for service of military vehicles and will feature concrete foundations, concrete floor, masonry and restrooms with air conditioning, heating, ventilation, and utilities. The MCMA (Bid Option) is an outdoor covered martial arts training area with a canopy structure including floor mats and rubber mulch designed for martial arts training exercises. Additional features include tactical vehicle parking/storage area, privately owned vehicle (POV) parking, access driveways, perimeter and tactical security fencing, storm water management, including Low Impact Development (LID features), fire protection, masonry trash enclosure matching the building, site signage, pull up stations, flag pole, and site utilities. Built-in equipment includes lockers/storage containers, bleachers, compressed air system, vehicle exhaust capture system, and supply area fencing. This project will provide Anti-Terrorism/Force Protection (ATFP) features and comply with ATFP regulations, physical security and progressive collapse mitigation in accordance with UFC 4-010-01 DoD Minimum Anti-Terrorism Standards for Buildings.

B. PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS

Proposals may be sent via express mail carriers or hand-carried to the following address:

Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Mid-Atlantic

NAVFAC MARFORRES, Facility Support Team

520 Dewey Avenue, Building 5

Great Lakes, IL 60088-3147

Attn: Judy Honold, Contract Specialist

C. REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION (RFI)

Offerors who determine that technical and or contractual requirements of this RFP require clarification(s) in order to permit submittal of a responsive proposal shall submit their RFI questions in writing. All RFIs shall be submitted via email to Judy Honold at judy.honold@navy.mil and julie.m.green@navy.mil no later than June 3, 2016 at 12:00 p.m.. A response will be published as an amendment to the solicitation.

D. BASIS OF AWARD

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the offeror submitting the proposal determined to represent the best value—the proposal most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered.

2. As stated in the solicitation, the Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

3. The tradeoff process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition. The Government considers it to be in its best interest to allow consideration of award to other than the lowest priced offeror or other than the highest technically rated offeror.

4. This is a two-phase design-build procurement utilizing the procedures of FAR 36.3. After evaluating phase-one proposals, the contracting officer shall select the most highly qualified offerors and request that only those offerors submit phase-two proposals. In accordance with FAR 36.303-1(a)(4), the solicitation will state that a maximum of five offerors will be selected to submit Phase II proposals, unless the Contracting Officer determines that a number greater than five is in the Government's interest and is consistent with the purpose and objectives of two-phase design-build contracting. The relative order of importance of the non-price evaluation factors and subfactors is the technical factors for Phase I and Phase II (Factors 1, 2, 4 and 5-7) and their respective subfactors (Subfactors 7A and 7B) are of equal importance to each other and, when combined, are equal in importance to the past performance evaluation/performance confidence assessment factor (Factor 3). When the proposal is evaluated as a whole, the technical factors and past performance/performance confidence assessment factor combined (i.e., the non-price evaluation factors) are approximately equal to price.

5. Any proposal found to have a deficiency in meeting the stated solicitation requirements or Performance objectives will be considered ineligible for award, unless the deficiency is corrected through discussions. Proposals may be found to have either a significant weakness or multiple weaknesses that impact either the individual factor rating or the overall rating for the proposal. The evaluation report must document the evaluation board's assessment of the identified weakness(s) and the associated risk to successful contract performance resulting from the weakness(s). This assessment must provide the rationale for proceeding to award without discussions.

E. EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-price factors and subfactors:

Phase I

- Factor 1 – Technical Approach
- Factor 2 – Experience
- Factor 3 – Past Performance
- Factor 4 – Safety

Phase II

- Factor 5 – Technical Solution
- Factor 6 – Energy and Sustainable Design
- Factor 7 – Small Business Utilization
 - Subfactor 7A – Past Performance in Utilization of Small Business Concerns
 - Subfactor 7B – Small Business Participation

Price

Price: The importance of price will increase if the Offerors' non-price proposals are considered essentially equal in terms of overall quality, or if price is so high as to significantly diminish the value of a non-price proposal's superiority to the Government. Award will be made to the responsible Offeror(s) whose offer conforms to the solicitation and represents the best value to the Government, price and non-price factors considered.

Offeror's prices shall be provided on the Price Worksheet, Attachment A and transferred to the SF1442 Form for each respective Contract Line Item Number (CLIN) in Solicitation N40085-16-R-3607.

Price (Phase II): Offerors shall submit one (1) Original, marked "Original" proposal and two (2) copies of their price proposal with the following information included:

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: Offerors shall complete the price evaluation worksheet (Attachment A) for Contract Line Item Numbers (CLINs) 0001 and 0002 base work and CLINs

0003 - 0007 (Option bid items) and CLIN 0008 (Planned Modification). Prices shall also be included on the SF1442

Form besides each respective CLIN listed in RFP N40085-16-R-3607.

- Provide a Bid Bond In Accordance With (IAW) FAR Clause 52.228-1
- Submit Contractor's Information Page – Attachment B.
- Complete and return Representations and Certifications Clauses in 00600 of the SF1442 (RFP N40085-16-R-3607)
- Joint Venture/Partnership Agreements and Approvals (if applicable)
- Financial/Bank Verification Form – Attachment C

NOTE: FF&E and A/V– Procurement and Installation Bid Option (Attachment A)

Offerors may propose a rate, up to, but not to exceed 5%, for the Handling and Administration of the FF&E and A/V. This rate will account for all administrative costs, overhead, bonding fees, administration of subcontractors, profit and any other costs associated with and related to the coordination and processing of the procurement and installation of FF&E and A/V. No other charges, expenses, fee, mark-ups, etc., will be authorized. The Prime Contractor's proposed Handling and Administrative Rate (HAR) shall be applied to the Government budget amount of FF&E and A/V for the FF&E Bid Option listed on Attachment A.

The Prime Contractor's FF&E and A/V HAR will be incorporated into the contract via a modification and will not be adjusted regardless of fluctuations from the Government's budget amount of FF&E and A/V. The HAR is a fixed rate. When the final FF&E and A/V package is priced, the contractor's HAR shall be applied to the FF&E and A/V supplier's and vendor's quotes.

The Government is not obligated to award the FF&E and A/V line item. Should the Government choose to award the FF&E and A/V; the line item will be awarded as a negotiated modification to the contract.

(2) Basis of Evaluation: The Government intends to evaluate all options and has included the provision FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options (JUL 1990) in the solicitation (N40085-16-R-3607). Except when it is determined in accordance with FAR 17.206 (b) not to be in the Government's best interests, the Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. Evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).

The Customer's Design-Build Budget amount for this project is approximately \$16M. Proposals that provide total price offers greater than the Design-Build Budget amount may be rejected.

Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

- (i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
- (ii) Comparison of proposed prices with the Independent Government Cost Estimate (IGCE).
- (iii) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.
- (iv) Comparison of market survey results.

NON-PRICE/TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS: Offerors shall submit one (1) original, marked "Original" proposal, one (1) CD, and four (4) proposal copies, each in a separate three ring binder with the following:

- 8 ½ X 11 format (11X17 format for all drawings)
- 10 point font or greater

- Include a cover page with Request For Proposal (RFP) Number, Title of the Project, Prime Contractor's Name, Address, Phone Number, Fax Number, DUNS, Cage Code, as well as the Point of Contact, their phone number, and email address.
- If proposals are hand-carried, the Offeror is required to prepare and submit the following documents and it should be labeled, "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY" and SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION – FAR 3.104.

(b) Non-price Factors (Phase I):

Factor 1 - Technical Approach: Composition and management of the firms proposed as the design-build (DB) team for this contract will be evaluated in this factor.

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: The Offeror shall submit the following:

Provide a narrative describing the proposed primary construction firms and primary design firms for this contract and the rationale for proposing this arrangement. Provide the role, responsibilities, and contractual relationships between the various firms (see FAR Subpart 9.6). The narrative shall also include a simple organizational chart that clearly identifies the lines of authority between the entities. If the experience of an entity is being claimed in Factor 2, Experience, that entity must be named in the narrative and organizational chart.

The technical narrative shall be limited to one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages) excluding the organizational chart which shall not exceed one (1) single-sided page. The information requested in the following paragraphs are not included in this page limitation.

In addition to the narrative, the Offeror shall submit a signed copy of a joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, approved mentor protégé agreement (MPA), or letter of commitment for each member of the Offeror's team identified above (e.g., joint venture member, partner, team member, subcontractor, parent company, subsidiary, or other affiliated company, etc.).

(2) Basis of Evaluation: The assessment of the Offeror's technical approach will be used as a means to evaluate the organizational structure and teaming relationships proposed by the Offeror. Any proposed agreement (i.e., joint venture agreement) will be evaluated. This factor will be rated on an Acceptable or Unacceptable basis.

Factor 2 - Experience:

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: The Offeror shall submit the following information:

Construction Experience:

Submit a minimum of one (1) and a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrates your experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as:

Size: 35,000 SF or greater

Scope: New construction of military reserve/training center or similar multi-function facility that includes administrative space, classrooms, large open spaces (ie: multi-purpose area, gym, drill hall), and maintenance areas.

Complexity:

- Provided remote utility-provided services to a previously undeveloped site via new right-of-ways (atleast one of the five projects is sufficient)
- Provided HVAC system commissioning
- Provided construction to meet special or complex security requirements

Note: For complexity, the submitted relevant project experience shall demonstrate the three complexity characteristics noted above. Each relevant project does not need to demonstrate all of the characteristics listed above; however, the relevant projects submitted must collectively demonstrate all of the items. The Offeror's body of work as a whole will be reviewed to ascertain if it possesses all of these characteristics, taken as a whole. Complexity will only be satisfied on projects that are considered relevant in terms of size and scope and completed within the specified time period.

Projects submitted for the Offeror shall be completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment D) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information, including the project square footage, for each project. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or the equivalent organization or process.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for the Joint Venture members. Offerors who fail to submit experience for all Joint Venture members may be rated lower. Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate and explain as to how the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

Experience of proposed subcontractors will not be considered.

Design Experience

Submit a minimum of one (1) and a maximum of five (5) relevant design projects for the design team that best demonstrates design experience on relevant projects that are similar in size, scope, and complexity to the RFP. For purposes of this evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as:

Size: 35,000 SF or greater

Scope: New construction of military reserve/training center or similar multi-function facility that includes administrative space, classrooms, large open spaces (ie: multi-purpose area, gym, drill hall), and maintenance areas.

Complexity:

- Provided AT/FP-compliant facility (UFC 4-010-01)
- Provided low impact development (LID) compliance (UFC 3-210-10)

Note: For complexity, the submitted relevant project experience shall demonstrate the three complexity characteristics noted above. Each relevant project does not need to demonstrate all of the characteristics listed above; however, the relevant projects submitted must collectively demonstrate all of the items. The Offeror's body of work as a whole will be reviewed to ascertain if it possesses all of these characteristics, taken as a whole. Complexity will only be satisfied on projects that are considered relevant in terms of size and scope and completed within the specified time period.

Projects submitted shall be completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP. For design-build projects, the design portion of the contract shall have been completed within the past seven (7) years of the date of issuance of this RFP.

A project is defined as a complete design effort performed under a single task order or contract/subcontract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project.

The attached Construction & Design Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment D) is MANDATORY and SHALL be used to submit project information, including the project square footage, for each project. If the same project is being used to demonstrate construction and design experience, submit separate Project Data Sheets for construction and design. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed one (1) double-sided page (or two (2) single-sided pages).

For all submitted projects, the description of the project shall clearly describe the scope of work performed and the relevancy to the project requirements of this RFP (i.e.: unique features, area, construction methods). In addition, the description should also address any sustainable features for the project, including specific descriptions of those features. Provide applicable documentation on projects that were validated and/or certified through U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) or the equivalent organization or process.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate and explain as to how the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract.

The Offeror may utilize experience of a design subcontractor to demonstrate design experience under this evaluation factor. The Offer must provide a supporting joint venture agreement, partnership agreement, teaming agreement, or letter of commitment and an explanation of the meaningful involvement for the design subcontractor.

(2) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction and design projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five (5) projects for construction and five (5) for design. Any projects submitted in excess of the five (5) for Construction Experience and five (5) for Design Experience will not be considered.

Relevant projects where the Offeror and the proposed design firm(s) have previously worked together may be considered more favorably than those that have not worked together.

Relevant projects that demonstrate design-build experience may be considered more favorably than those that do not have design-build experience.

Relevant projects that demonstrate experience with sustainable features may be considered more favorably than those that do not demonstrate experience with sustainable features.

Factor 3 - Past Performance:**(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

If a completed Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) or Construction Contractor Appraisal Support System (CCASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for construction experience. If a completed CPARS or AE Contractor Appraisal Support System (ACASS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 2 for design experience. If there is not a completed CPARS, CCASS or ACASS evaluation then submit Past Performance Questionnaires (Attachment E) for each project included in Factor 2 for both Construction Experience and Design Experience. The Offeror should provide completed Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) in the proposal. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror shall complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ, which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). The Government may make reasonable attempts to contact the client noted for that project(s) to obtain the PPQ information. However, Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to help ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Ms. Judy Honold, at judy.honold@navy.mil and Ms. Julie Green at julie.m.green@navy.mil

Offerors may provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience. Offerors may also address any adverse past performance issues. Explanations shall not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages) in total.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

Performance award or additional information submitted will not be considered.

(2) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the relevant projects submitted under Factor 2 – Experience and past performance on other projects currently documented in known sources. More emphasis will be placed on more relevant projects. In addition to the above, the Government reserves the right to obtain information for use in the evaluation of past performance from any and all sources including sources outside of the Government. Other sources may include, but are not limited to, past performance information retrieved through the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS) using all CAGE/DUNS numbers of Contractors who are part of a partnership or joint venture identified in the Offeror's proposal, inquiries of owner representative(s), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), and any other known sources not provided by the Offeror.

The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in the Contractor's performance. This evaluation is separate and distinct from the Contracting Officer's responsibility determination. The assessment of the Offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the Offeror's probability to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP.

Offerors lacking relevant past performance history will not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably in past performance and will receive an Unknown Confidence rating.

Factor 4 - Safety:**(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

The Offeror shall submit the following information: (For a partnership or joint venture, the following submittal requirements are required for each Contractor who is part of the partnership or joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. EMR and DART Rates shall not be submitted for subcontractors).

(a) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

For the three (3) previous complete calendar years (2013, 2014 and 2015), submit your EMR (which compares your company's annual losses in insurance claims against its policy premiums over a three (3) year period). If you have no EMR, affirmatively state so and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the EMR and upward or downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(b) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

For the three (3) previous complete calendar years (2013, 2014, 2015), submit your OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate, as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration. If you cannot submit an OSHA DART Rate, affirmatively state so, and explain why. Any extenuating circumstances that affected the OSHA DART Rate data and upward and downward trends should be addressed as part of this element. Lower OSHA DART Rates will be given greater weight in this evaluation.

(c) Technical Approach for Safety:

Describe the plan that the Offeror will implement to evaluate safety performance of potential subcontractors, as part of the selection process for all levels of subcontractors. Also, describe any innovative methods that the Offeror will employ to ensure and monitor safe work practices at all subcontractor levels. The Safety narrative shall be limited to two (2) pages.

(2) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's overall safety record, the Offeror's plan to select and monitor subcontractors, any and innovative safety methods that the Offeror plans to implement for this procurement. The Government's sources of information for evaluating safety may include, but not limited to: OSHA, NAVFAC's Enterprise Safety Applications Management System (ESAMS) and other related databases. While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete safety information regarding these submittal requirements rests with the Offeror. The evaluation will collectively consider the following:

- Experience Modification Rate (EMR)
- OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate
- Offeror Technical Approach to Safety
- Other sources of information available to the Government

(a) Experience Modification Rate (EMR):

The Government will evaluate the EMR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating. Lower EMRs will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(b) OSHA Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate:

The Government will evaluate the OSHA DART Rate to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any upward or downward trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rates. Lower OSHA DART rates will be given greater weight in the evaluation.

(c) Technical Approach to Safety:

The Government will evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which subcontractor safety performance will be considered in the selection of all levels of subcontractors on the upcoming project. The Government will also evaluate the narrative to determine the degree to which innovations are being proposed that may enhance safety on this procurement. Those Offerors whose plan demonstrates a commitment to hire subcontractors with a culture of safety and who propose innovative methods to enhance a safe working environment may be given greater weight in the evaluation.

PHASE II NON-PRICE FACTORS:

NOTE: ONLY OFFERORS SELECTED FOR PHASE II WILL COMPLETE FACTORS 5 THROUGH 8.

Factor 5 – Technical Solution

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Provide a narrative describing the technical solution to the project that meets the requirements of the RFP. Include the following:

- Interior circulation/adjacencies
- Enclosure/envelope, structural and mechanical systems.
- Exterior façade describing how the proposed construction demonstrates compatibility with nearby existing and potential future residential developments.
- Site layout including a description of the building orientation, roadways, parking (include ingress and egress), emergency vehicle access, and ATRP standoff distances. Also include in the narrative a description of the general concept proposed to meet low impact development (LID).

Narrative shall not exceed three (3) double-sided pages (or six (6) single-sided pages).

Offerors shall provide conceptual drawings. At a minimum provide conceptual drawings to supplement the narrative as follows:

- Scaled Concept Floor and Roof Plans
 - Include general sizes and locations of major mechanical equipment and system types
 - Incorporate the concept structural system type and layout
- Scaled Concept Site Plan
 - Include landscaping and site features
 - Include site equipment and screening features
- Scaled Concept Elevations
 - Include every exterior building surface in elevation drawings with each material and system indicated. Accurately portray scale, proportions, and sizes of components.
- Scaled Concept Wall Sections
 - Showing each typical wall and roof construction system.

(2) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the narrative and conceptual drawings considering the extent to which the Offeror demonstrates a clear understanding of the architectural and engineering requirements of the project. The Government will evaluate the Offeror's technical solution to determine adherence to the technical requirements of the RFP.

Factor 6 – Energy and Sustainable Design**(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:**

Provide the following information, which describes how the project will meet or exceed the following sustainable design contract requirements.

Energy Efficiency Narrative:

Using the guidance outlined in Part 3 of the Technical RFP, provide a detailed narrative to describe whether the proposed solution will meet or exceed the goal of a 30% energy reduction using the ASHRAE Std 90.1-2010, Appendix G, Building Performance Rating Method, excluding receptacle and process loads. Provide the proposed percent energy reduction. Provide the assumptions the Offeror will use to obtain a high-performance building, which will comply with these energy reduction goals. Describe the Offeror's proposed building with regards to building orientation, shape, fenestration, solar heat gain coefficients (SHGC), wall and roof insulation values (U-values), HVAC systems, water heating systems, lighting systems, and control systems. Organize/divide the assumptions into four areas; building orientation and configuration, building envelope, mechanical systems, and electrical systems. Do not exceed two (2) double-sided pages (or four (4) single-sided pages).

Note: Building performance rating and percent energy reduction are calculated in terms of energy rather than energy cost.

(2) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's response to the Energy and Sustainable Design Factor considering the proposed energy savings.

Energy Efficiency Narrative: The Government will evaluate the Offeror's proposed energy budget reduction relative to ASHRAE Std 90.1-2010 energy efficiency goals, including evaluation of assumptions.

Factor 7 - Small Business Utilization

Factor 7 consists of two Subfactors, 7A, Past Performance in Utilization of Small Business Concerns, and 7B, Small Business Participation. The evaluation of Subfactors 7A and 7B are of equal importance to the determination of Factor 7 Rating.

Definitions: "SB" as used herein, is intended to include Small Business concerns, Small Disadvantaged Business concerns (SDB), Women-Owned Small Business concerns (WOSB), Historically Underutilized Business Zone Small Business concerns (HUBZone), Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (VOSB), and Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business concerns (SDVOSB). All small business programs are self-certifying programs with the exception of HUBZone certifications, see HUBZone SB Certifications below. Small Business Program requirements and definitions may be found in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), Part 19.

HUBZone SB Certifications: Offerors are reminded that HUBZone SB concerns must obtain formal certification from the Small Business Administration (SBA) if they expect to receive the evaluation benefits associated with the HUBZone SB programs either as a prime or subcontractor(s). For more information on the HUBZone SB certification requirements and available benefits, contact your local SBA representative. Certified HUBZone SB firms are listed on the U.S. Small Business Administration's Dynamic Small Business Search (DSBS) website at http://web.sba.gov/pro-net/search/dsp_dsbs.cfm. It is the responsibility of the prime contractor to periodically check the DSBS as certifications are subject to change.

SUBFACTOR 7A – PAST PERFORMANCE IN UTILIZATION OF SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements: Proposals that do not include responses addressing ALL elements of the requirements stated below (a. through d.) must include an explanation why that element is not addressed.

a. Provide performance evaluation ratings (i.e., SF1420, DD2626, or equivalent) obtained on the implementation of small business subcontracting plans for all of the offeror's projects referenced under Factor 2, Experience for Construction. Recently completed project evaluations are desired, however, in the absence of recently completed project evaluations, interim ratings for projects that are 80% complete may be considered. If more than five (5) evaluation ratings are provided, only the first five (5) will be considered. In addition, the Government may consider past performance information on other projects as made available to the Government from other sources (such as the Construction Contractor Appraisal Support Systems (CCASS)), Architect-Engineer Contract Administration Support System (ACASS) and Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS).

b. Provide small business subcontracting history. Large businesses with Federal prime contracting experience shall provide final or current Subcontracting Report for Individual Contracts (SF294) or Individual Subcontracting Reports (ISR's) on prime (only) contracts submitted under Factor 3, Past Performance. If Factor 3 submitted contracts are not prime contracts, submit SF294s or ISRs for contracts of similar scope performed as the prime contractor. If goals were not met on any submitted contracts, an explanation for each unmet goal is required. Large Businesses with no documented SF294/ISR history shall submit a subcontracting history on Attachment (F), Small Business Past Performance. If more than five (5) reports are provided, only the first 5 reports will be considered.

c. Small Business proposers shall provide a subcontracting history on (Attachment F), Small Business Past Performance.

d. If an Offeror is utilizing past performance information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the solicitation), the proposal shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies will have meaningful participation of all members in the management of the subcontracting program/plan by identifying the personnel or resources from the member companies that will be dedicated to managing the plan, and an organization chart which demonstrates the reporting chain within the membership.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture, Partnership LLC or other entity consisting of more than one entity, provide past performance information, elements a. through d., for each individual business entity(ies) that will be responsible for managing the subcontracting program/plan.

Proposals including information on any of the following additional elements may be rated higher, based on the evaluated extent to which the information addresses the basis of evaluation in paragraph (2):

a. Provide information on national-level, and industry-issued awards that offerors received for outstanding support to SB concerns within the past five (5) years. Include purpose, issuer, and date of award(s). National and industry-issued awards received beyond five (5) years will not be considered.

b. Provide information on previous, existing, planned or pending mentor-protégé agreements (MPA) under any Federal Government, or other, program held within the last five years. Information should include, at a minimum, the members, objectives, period of performance, and major accomplishments during the MPA.

c. Provide information on past use of Community Rehabilitation Program (CRP) organizations certified under the AbilityOne Program by SourceAmerica, or the National Industry for the Blind (NIB). Information should include the contract type, type of work performed, period of performance, and number of employed severely handicapped persons.

(2) Basis of Evaluation:

The extent to which the proposal demonstrates the proposer's level of past performance in utilizing Small Business (SB) concerns, AbilityOne, Mentor-Protégé Agreements, and other socio-economic programs, as defined in FAR Parts 26.1 and 26.2, in subcontracting, and in meeting established Small Business subcontracting goals.

SUBFACTOR 7B – SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION

(1) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Identify in terms of dollar value and percentage of the total acquisition, the extent of work you will perform as the prime contractor. If submitting an offer as a Joint-Venture, identify the percentage of work each member will be responsible for and indicate the size status of each member, e.g., LB, SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, etc.

If you are a Large Business, submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan for this project in the format provided in Attachment G for this factor, to include all information required in the attachment. If you are a Small Business, submit a subcontracting participation breakdown in the format provided in Attachment H for this factor. All proposers: To demonstrate commitment in using small business concerns, the Small Business Subcontracting Plan or subcontracting participation breakdown may list all subcontractors by name. If the proposed Small Business Subcontracting goals do not meet the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, include a detailed explanation describing the actions taken to arrive at that determination, along with an explanation for the goals that actually were proposed. For proposals submitted on design-build solicitations, the proposer must identify its designer/design team in its Subcontracting Plan or Small Business Participation Breakdown.

Firm commitments to subcontract to multiple companies: The Offeror may provide a demonstration of commitments in planned subcontracts by listing multiple names of companies that will be used to support specific small business category (i.e., SB, SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, VOSB and SDVOSB).

(2) Basis of Evaluation:

The following will be evaluated on all proposals:

- a. The extent to which the proposal demonstrates maximum practicable participation of SBs in terms of the total value of the acquisition, including options.
- b. The extent to which the proposal demonstrates a commitment to use SB concerns that are specifically identified in the proposal, including but not limited to use of mentor protégé programs.
- c. The extent to which the proposal demonstrates SB participation in a variety of industries expected during the performance of work.
- d. The realism of the proposal to meet the proposed goals.

The following will be evaluated on proposals submitted by Large Business firms:

a. The extent to which the proposal provides Small Business Subcontracting goals that meet or exceed the minimum NAVFAC Small Business Subcontracting Targets, and utilization of AbilityOne CRP organizations. Proposals that provide goals exceeding the NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets may be rated higher. The proposed goals and NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are expressed as a percentage of total subcontracted values. The minimum NAVFAC Subcontracting Targets are as follows:

	FY2016
SB	65%
SDB	15%

WOSB	15%
HUBZone	6%
SDVOSB	5%

b. The extent to which the proposer's Small Business Subcontracting Plan establishes reasonable efforts demonstrating the subcontracting targets can be met during the performance of the contract:

Note: Forms to be used for the submission of Small Business Utilization are included as follows:

Attachment F – Small Business Past Performance

Attachment G – Small Business Subcontracting Plan.

Attachment H – Small Business Offeror Small Business Participation Breakdown

A copy of the blank forms to be used for offeror's submission of Small Business Utilization will be provided as Attachments F-H to the Phase II amendment.

V. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A – Price Evaluation Worksheet

Attachment B – Cover Page with Prime Contractor's Information

Attachment C – Financial/Bank Verification Form

Attachment D – Construction/Design Project Data Sheet

Attachment E – Past Performance Questionnaire

Attachment F – Small Business Past Performance

Attachment G – Small Business Subcontracting Plan

Attachment H – Small Business Offeror Small Business Participation Breakdown

(End of Summary of Changes)